

TEST STRUCTURE AND METHODOLOGY FOR SEMICONDUCTOR STRESS-INDUCED
DEFECTS AND ANTIFUSE BASED ON SAME TEST STRUCTURE

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to the field of stress-
induced defect detection in semiconductor devices; more
specifically, it relates to a system of devices and test
methodologies for detecting stress-induced defects and to
the use of particular of these devices as antifuses.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 The fabrication processes for silicon chips often lead
to the formation of small stress-induced silicon defects
that may coalesce into dislocations or stacking faults that
degrade the product functionality, yield and reliability.
Examples of such processes include ion implantation, trench
15 isolation and other dielectric isolation processes, trench
capacitor processes, oxidation processes in general and film
deposition processes. Results of stress-induced defects
include gate and capacitor dielectric leakage, which may be
yield or reliability defects.

20 Semiconductor silicon substrates, being crystalline are
subject to shearing of one portion of the crystal with

respect to another portion of the crystal along a specific crystal plane. Dislocations, which are postulated as crystalline defects, occur in different types including: edge dislocations, screw dislocations and declinations.

5 In dynamic random access memory (DRAM) technologies employing deep trench storage capacitors, the leakage requirements for the capacitor are very stringent, and monitor systems are introduced for the detection of process induced defects in the active area of the DRAM deep trench
10 storage capacitors.

 While methods exists for monitoring processes for defects and other methods exist for detecting stress during processes development, an efficient and sensitive monitoring systems for detecting stress-induced defects that could be
15 used for both development and routine monitoring in manufacturing is limited. Therefore, a method is needed to detect the formation of silicon defects that is sensitive, simple, applicable to process monitoring and process development and applicable to logic and DRAM technologies.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A first aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting semiconductor process stress-induced defects comprising: providing a polysilicon-bounded test diode, the polysilicon-bounded test diode comprising a diffused first region within an upper portion of a second region of a silicon substrate, the second region of an opposite dopant type from the diffused first region, the diffused first region surrounded by a peripheral dielectric isolation and a peripheral polysilicon gate comprising a polysilicon layer over a dielectric layer and the polysilicon gate overlapping a peripheral portion of the diffused first region; stressing the polysilicon-bounded test diode; and monitoring the stressed polysilicon-bounded test diode for spikes in gate current during the stress.

A second aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting semiconductor process stress-induced defects comprising: providing one or more polysilicon-bounded test diodes, each polysilicon-bounded test diodes comprising a diffused first region within an upper portion of a second region of a silicon substrate, the second region of an opposite dopant type from the diffused first region, the

diffused first region surrounded by a peripheral dielectric isolation and a peripheral polysilicon gate comprising a polysilicon layer over a dielectric layer, the polysilicon gate overlapping a peripheral portion of the diffused first region; stressing each the polysilicon-bounded test diode; measuring during the stressing for each the polysilicon-bounded test diode, the current through the first region as a function of a forward bias voltage applied between the first and second regions at at least a predetermined forward bias voltage; and determining the frequency distribution of the slope of the forward bias voltage versus the first region current at the pre-selected forward bias voltage for the one or more polysilicon-bounded test diodes.

A third aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting semiconductor process stress-induced defects comprising: providing one or more polysilicon-bounded test diodes, each polysilicon-bounded test diode comprising a diffused first region within an upper portion of a second region of a silicon substrate, the second region of an opposite dopant type from the diffused first region, the diffused first region surrounded by a peripheral dielectric isolation, a peripheral polysilicon gate comprising a

polysilicon layer over a dielectric layer, the polysilicon gate overlapping a peripheral portion of the diffused first region; stressing each the polysilicon-bounded test diode for a pre-determined amount of time; and monitoring, after the stressing, each the polysilicon-bounded test diode for soft breakdown.

A fourth aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting semiconductor process stress-induced defects comprising: providing a test DRAM, the test DRAM having a transfer device comprising a channel region between first and second P+ regions formed in a N-well in a silicon substrate and a gate formed over the channel region, the second P+ region electrically connected to a conductive core of a deep trench capacitor, the substrate acting as a second plate of the deep trench capacitor; stressing the test DRAM; and monitoring the stressed test DRAM for spikes in first P+ region current during the stressing.

A fifth aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting semiconductor process stress-induced defects comprising: providing a test DRAM, the test DRAM having a transfer device comprising a channel region between first and second P+ regions formed in a N-well in a silicon

substrate and a gate formed over the channel region, the second P+ region electrically connected to a conductive core of a deep trench capacitor, the substrate acting as a second plate of the deep trench capacitor; stressing the test DRAM; and monitoring the stressed test DRAM for spikes in gate current during the stressing.

A sixth aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting semiconductor process stress-induced defects comprising: providing a test DRAM, the test DRAM comprising a transfer device comprising a channel region between first and second P+ regions formed in a N-well in a silicon substrate and a gate formed over the channel region, the second P+ region electrically connected to a conductive core of a deep trench capacitor, the substrate acting as a second plate of the deep trench capacitor; stressing each the test DRAM; measuring during the stressing, for the test DRAM, the current through the first P+ region as a function of a forward bias voltage applied between the first P+ region and the N-well at at least a pre-selected forward bias voltage; and determining the frequency distribution of the slope of the forward bias voltage versus the first P+ region current

at the pre-selected forward bias voltage for the one or more test DRAMs.

A seventh aspect of the present invention is a method for detecting semiconductor process stress-induced defects comprising: providing a test DRAM, the test DRAM comprising a transfer device comprising a channel region between first and second P+ regions formed in a N-well in a silicon substrate and a gate formed over the channel region, the second P+ region electrically connected to a conductive core of a deep trench capacitor, the substrate acting as a second plate of the deep trench capacitor; stressing the test DRAM for a pre-determined amount of time; and monitoring, after the stressing, each the test DRAM for soft breakdown.

An eighth aspect of the present invention is a method of fabricating an antifuse comprising: providing a silicon substrate having a surface; forming a ring of shallow trench isolation having an inner and an outer perimeter in the substrate extending from the surface of the substrate into the substrate; forming a polysilicon gate overlapping the inner perimeter of the shallow trench isolation on the surface of the substrate, the polysilicon gate comprising a dielectric layer between the surface of the substrate and a

polysilicon layer, the polysilicon gate having an inner and outer perimeter; damaging the dielectric layer in a region along the inner perimeter of the polysilicon gate with a heavy ion specie implant to lower the breakdown voltage of the damaged dielectric layer in the region compared to the breakdown voltage in undamaged dielectric regions; and forming a diffused region in the silicon substrate within the inner perimeter of the shallow trench isolation, the diffused region extending from the surface of the substrate into the substrate a depth not exceeding a depth of the shallow trench isolation.

A ninth aspect of the present invention is an antifuse comprising: a silicon substrate having a surface; a ring of shallow trench isolation having an inner an outer perimeter in the substrate extending from the surface of the substrate into the substrate; a polysilicon gate overlapping the inner edge of the shallow trench isolation on the surface of the substrate, the polysilicon gate comprising a dielectric layer between the surface of the substrate and a polysilicon layer, the polysilicon gate having an inner and outer perimeter; a damaged region of the dielectric layer, the damaged region along the inner perimeter of the polysilicon

gate, the damaged region damaged with a heavy ion specie
implant and having a lower breakdown voltage than undamaged
regions of the dielectric layer; and a diffused region in
the silicon substrate within the inner perimeter of the
5 shallow trench isolation, the diffused region extending from
the surface of the substrate into the substrate a depth not
exceeding a depth of the shallow trench isolation.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

10 The features of the invention are set forth in the
appended claims. The invention itself, however, will be
best understood by reference to the following detailed
description of an illustrative embodiment when read in
conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

15 FIG. 1 is a top view of a polysilicon-bounded test
diode for use in a test system for detecting and monitoring
stress-induced defects in semiconductor devices according to
the present invention;

20 FIG. 2 is a partial cross-sectional view through 2-2 of
the polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1 according to
the present invention;

FIG. 3 is a top view of a STI-bounded reference diode for use in a test system in conjunction with the polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1, for detecting and monitoring stress-induced defects in semiconductor devices, according to the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a partial cross-sectional view through 4-4 of the STI-bounded reference diode of FIG. 3 according to the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a partial cross-sectional view of a test DRAM device adapted for use in a test system for detecting and monitoring stress-induced defects in semiconductor devices to the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a partial cross-sectional view of a reference device adapted for use in a test system in conjunction with the test DRAM of FIG. 5, for detecting and monitoring stress-induced defects in semiconductor devices, according to the present invention;

FIGS. 7A through 7C are flowcharts illustrating first, second and third test methodologies respectively, according to a first embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 8 is a plot of P+ diffusion and gate currents versus diffusion reverse bias voltage for the polysilicon-

bounded test diode of FIG. 1 having no stress-induced defects;

FIG. 9 is a plot of P+ diffusion and gate currents versus diffusion reverse bias voltage for the polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1 having stress-induced defects;

FIGS. 10A and 10B are flowcharts illustrating fourth and fifth test methodologies respectively according to a second embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 11 is a plot of the forward bias current versus forward bias voltage for three different polysilicon-bounded test diodes of FIG. 1, each having different quantities of stress-induced defects;

FIG. 12 is a histogram of the distribution of the slope, in mV/decade of current versus the forward bias current-voltage characteristics of polysilicon-bounded test diodes of FIG. 1 and STI-bounded reference diodes of FIG. 3;

FIGS. 13A, 13B and 13C are flowcharts illustrating sixth, seventh and eighth test methodologies respectively, according to a third embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 14 is a plot of the polysilicon gate current versus stress time for polysilicon-bounded test diodes of FIG. 1 with and without stress-induced defects;

FIGs. 15A through 15K are partial cross-sectional views illustrating fabrication of an antifuse according to the present invention;

FIG. 16 is a Weibull distribution for Time-to-Fail (T_{BD}) and Charge-to-Breakdown (Q_{BD}) for a polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1 used as an antifuse, with and without stress-induced defects;

FIG. 17 is a plot of the dielectric breakdown field at 30°C versus germanium implantation dose of the antifuse of FIG. 15K;

FIG. 18 is a plot of dielectric breakdown voltage versus the inverse of absolute temperature of the antifuses of FIG. 15K, fabricated with two thickness of dielectric; and

FIG. 19 is a plot of dielectric breakdown voltage versus germanium implantation dose of the antifuse of FIG. 15K at three temperatures.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the following description of the present invention the term stress-induced defect when used in conjunction with

silicon substrates is intended to mean dislocations, stacking faults and other silicon crystal plane defects.

FIG. 1 is a top view of a polysilicon-bounded test diode for use in a test system for detecting and monitoring stress-induced defects in semiconductor devices according to the present invention. In FIG. 1, polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 is formed in a silicon substrate 105.

Polysilicon-bounded test-diode 100 includes a P+ diffusion region 110 having a length " L_D " and a width " W_D " formed over an N-well region 115. P+ diffusion region 110 is bounded by a peripheral shallow trench isolation (STI) region 120. A peripheral polysilicon gate 125 overlaps the entire STI/P+ diffusion region interface 130 giving polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 a high perimeter to area ratio. Polysilicon gate 125 has a width " W_p " and overlaps P+ diffusion region 110 by a distance " O_p ." Polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 also includes a first probe pad 135 electrically connected to P+ diffusion region 110 by a first contact 140, a second probe pad 145 electrically connected to polysilicon gate 125 by a second contact 150 and a third probe pad 155 connected to an N+ diffusion region 160 by a third contact 165. N+ diffusion region 160 provides low resistance electrical

connection to N-well 115. Both STI 120 and N+ diffusion region 160 are formed in the shapes of rings, the N+ diffusion region surrounding the STI.

FIG. 2 is a partial cross-sectional view through 2-2 of the polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1 according to the present invention. In FIG. 2, polysilicon gate 125 includes a dielectric layer 170 formed on a top surface 175 of silicon substrate 105 and a polysilicon layer formed on top of the gate dielectric layer. P+ diffusion region 110 does not extend below a bottom surface 185 of STI 120. Also, the overlap of polysilicon gate 125 of STI 120 and P+ diffusion region 110 is clearly illustrated.

In one example, " L_D " is about 50 to 500 microns, " W_D " is about 2 to 10 microns, " W_p " is about 0.5 to 1.5 microns and " O_p " is about 0.1 to 0.6 microns. Gate dielectric layer 170 may be thermal oxide about 1 to 10 nm thick.

The lower limit of " L_D " is chosen so as not to impact the sensitivity of the measurement to be performed and the upper limit is constrained by silicon real estate concerns. That is, large devices consume valuable silicon area and small devices are subject to noise. The lower limit of " W_p " is limited by technology ground rules and process equipment

limitations (i.e. photolithography and etching.) The upper limit must be high enough to provide low noise to signal ratios for the measurement being performed, narrow devices being noisier than wider devices. The upper and lower limits of " O_p " are primarily driven by technology ground rules and process equipment limitations.

Polysilicon-bounded diode 100 comprises a first portion of a defect test system, the test device. A second portion of the defect test system comprises a control or calibration device and is illustrated in FIGs. 3 and 4 and described below.

FIG. 3 is a top view of a STI-bounded reference diode for use in a test system in conjunction with the polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1, for detecting and monitoring stress-induced defects in semiconductor devices, according to the present invention. In FIG. 3, STI-bounded reference diode 200 is formed in silicon substrate 105. STI-bounded reference diode 200 includes a P+ diffusion region 210 having a length " L_D " and a width " W_D " formed over N-well region 215. P+ diffusion region 210 is bounded by a peripheral STI 220. STI-bounded reference diode 200 has a high perimeter to area ratio. STI-bounded reference diode

200 also includes a first probe pad 235 electrically
connected to P+ diffusion region 210 by a first contact 240
and a second probe pad 255 connected to an N+ diffusion
region 260 by a second contact 265. N+ diffusion region 260
5 provides low resistance electrical connection to N-well 215.
Both STI 220 and N+ diffusion region 260 are formed in the
shapes of rings, the N+ diffusion region surrounding the
STI.

FIG. 4 is a partial cross-sectional view through 4-4 of
10 the STI-bounded reference diode of FIG. 3 according to the
present invention. In FIG. 4, P+ diffusion region 210 does
not extend below a bottom surface 285 of STI 220.

In one example, " L_D " is about 50 to 500 microns and
" W_D " is about 2 to 10 microns. In practice, " L_D " and " W_D " of
15 polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 would be the same as the
" L_D " and " W_D " of STI-bounded reference diode 200. If more
than one size of polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 is used,
then corresponding sizes of STI-bounded reference diode 200
are used. Both polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 and STI-
20 bounded reference diode 200 are fabricated simultaneously
and the STI, N-well, P+ diffusion and N+ diffusion processes
would be common to both devices.

For both polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 and STI-bounded reference diode 200 reverse polarity diodes may be used. P+ diffusion regions would be replaced by N+ diffusions, N+ diffusions by P+ diffusions and N-well by P-well. While STI technology has been illustrated other types of isolation such as local oxidation of silicon (LOCOS.)

The test and reference structures of the first embodiment of the present invention are suitable for both testing both Logic devices/processes using complimentary metal-oxide-silicon (CMOS) and DRAM technologies. The test and reference structures of the second embodiment are more suited to testing DRAM technology devices/processes and are illustrated in FIGs. 5 and 6 and described below.

FIG. 5 is a partial cross-sectional view of a test DRAM device adapted for use in a test system for detecting and monitoring stress-induced defects in semiconductor devices according to the present invention. In FIG. 5, a test DRAM device 300 is formed in a P+ silicon substrate 305 and in a P⁻ epitaxial layer 310 grown on the P+ silicon substrate. Formed in P⁻ epitaxial layer 310 is a N-well 315. A P⁻ region 320 of P⁻ epitaxial layer 310 remains P type doped between N-well 315 and P+ substrate 305. An N+ diffusion

325 provides low resistance electrical connection to N-well 315. Also formed in N-well 315 is STI 330. STI 330 does not extend into P⁻ region 320. Further formed in N-well 315 is a deep trench capacitor 335. Deep trench capacitor 335 extends through N-well 315, P⁻ region 320 and into P+ substrate 305. Deep trench capacitor 335 comprises a polysilicon core 340 surrounded by a dielectric liner 342. Formed in N-well 315, between STI 330 and deep trench capacitor 335 is a PFET transfer device 345. PFET transfer device 345 comprises a first P+ diffusion 350A adjacent to STI 330, a second P+ diffusion region 350B adjacent to deep trench capacitor 335, channel region 355 and a polysilicon gate 360. First P+ diffusion 350A and second P+ diffusion 350B are separated by a channel region 355 of N-well 315. Polysilicon gate 360 is formed over channel region 355 and aligned to first and second P+ diffusions 350A and 350B. Polysilicon gate 360 comprises a gate dielectric portion 365 formed over channel region 355 and a polysilicon portion 370 formed on top of the gate dielectric portion. A metal strap 375 electrically connects deep trench capacitor 335 to second P+ diffusion 350B.

Schematically illustrated in FIG. 5 is a substrate contact 380 to P+ substrate 305, an N-well contact 385 to N+ diffusion 325, a bit-line contact 390 to first P+ diffusion 350A and a word line contact 395 to polysilicon gate 360.

5 Test DRAM device 300 comprises a first portion of a defect test system, the test device. A second portion of the defect test system comprises a control or calibration device and is illustrated in FIG. 6 and described below.

10 FIG. 6 is a partial cross-sectional view of a reference device adapted for use in a test system in conjunction with the test DRAM of FIG. 5, for detecting and monitoring stress-induced defects in semiconductor devices, according to the present invention. In FIG. 6, a reference device 400 is formed in a P+ silicon substrate 305 and in a P⁻ epitaxial layer 310 grown on the P+ silicon substrate.

15 Formed in P⁻ epitaxial layer 310 is an N-well 415. A P⁻ region 320 of P⁻ epitaxial layer 310 remains P type doped between N-well 415 and P+ substrate 305. An N+ diffusion 425 provides low resistance electrical connection to N-well

20 415. Also formed in N-well is STI 430. STI 430 does not extend into P⁻ region 320. Further formed in N-well 415 is a deep trench capacitor 435. Deep trench capacitor 435

extends through N-well 415, P⁻ region 320 and into P+ substrate 305. Deep trench capacitor 435 comprises a polysilicon core 440 surrounded by a dielectric liner 442. Formed in N-well 415, between STI 430 and deep trench capacitor 435 is a P+ diffusion 450. A metal strap 475 electrically connects deep trench capacitor 435 to P+ diffusion 450.

Schematically illustrated in FIG. 6 is a substrate contact 480 to P+ substrate 305, an N-well contact 485 to N+ diffusion 425 and a P+ diffusion contact 495 to P+ diffusion 450.

While a PFET transfer device has been illustrated for test DRAM device 300 and a P+ diffusion for reference device 400, the present invention is equally applicable to a test DRAM device using an NFET transfer device in conjunction with a reference device using a N+ diffusion.

When used for semiconductor process development or product testing and/or screening, multiplicities of polysilicon-bounded test diodes 100 with or without STI-bounded reference diodes 200 and/or test DRAM devices 300 with/or without reference devices 400 may, in one example, be formed in the kerf areas of chips on semiconductor wafers

during chip fabrication and tested at appropriate points in the process. Sets of polysilicon-bounded test diodes 100, STI-bounded reference diodes 200 of varying dimension " W_D ", " L_D ", " O_p " and " W_p " may be used.

5 It should be noted that whenever a test methodology uses a test DRAM device 300 (see FIG. 5) the terms "bit line contact (390)" and "first P+ diffusion region(350A)" are interchangeable, the terms "word line contact(395)" and "gate (360)" are interchangeable, the terms "N-well contact (385)" and "N-well (315)" are interchangeable and the terms
10 "substrate contact (380)" and "substrate (305)" are interchangeable.

 It should be noted that whenever a test methodology uses a reference device 400 (see FIG. 6) the terms "P+ diffusion contact (495)" and "P+ diffusion (450)" are
15 interchangeable and the terms "substrate contact (480)" and "substrate (305)" are interchangeable.

 FIGs. 7A through 7C are flowcharts illustrating first, second and third test methodologies respectively, according
20 to a first embodiment of the present invention. Referring to FIG 7A, in step 500, a polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 (see FIGs. 1 and 2) is selected. In step 505, polysilicon-

bounded test diode 100 is maintained at a pre-selected temperature. In one example, the pre-selected temperature is 180°C. However, any temperature in the range of about 100 to 200 °C may be used. In step 510, polysilicon gate 125, N-well 115 and substrate 105 (see FIG. 1) are held at ground potential. In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts. In step 515, P+ diffusion region 110 (see FIG. 1) is ramped from about 0 volts to about -6 volts. In step 520, the current through polysilicon gate 125 (see FIG. 1) is monitored for current spikes. An example is illustrated in FIGs. 8 and 9 and described below.

Referring to FIG 7B, in step 525, a test DRAM device 300 (see FIG. 5) is selected. In step 530, test DRAM device 300 is maintained at a pre-selected temperature. In one example, the pre-selected temperature is 180°C. However, any temperature in the range of about 100 to 200 °C may be used. In step 535, N-well contact 385, and bit line contact 390 are held at ground potential and word line contact 395 is held at a voltage sufficient to turn on transfer device 345 (see FIG. 5) In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts and the turn on voltage is about -2 volts. In step 540, substrate contact 380 (see FIG. 5) is ramped from about

0 volts to about -6 volts. In step 545, the current through bit line contact 390 (see FIG. 5) is monitored for current spikes.

Referring to FIG 7C, in step 550, a test DRAM device 300 (see FIG. 5) is selected. In step 555, test DRAM device 300 is maintained at a pre-selected temperature. In one example, the pre-selected temperature is 180°C. However, any temperature in the range of about 100 to 200 °C may be used. In step 560, N-well contact 385, by substrate contact 380 and wordline contact 395 (see FIG. 5) are held at ground potential. In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts. In step 565, bit line contact 390 (see FIG. 5) is ramped from about 0 volts to about -6 volts. In step 570, the current through word line contact 395 (see FIG. 5) is monitored for current spikes.

FIG. 8 is a plot of P+ diffusion and gate currents versus diffusion reverse bias voltage for the polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1 having no stress-induced defects and FIG. 9 is a plot of P+ diffusion and gate currents versus diffusion reverse bias voltage for the polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1 having stress-induced defects. While FIGs. 8 and 9 are for polysilicon-

bounded test diodes having a gate dielectric of five nm of thermal oxide, similar plots would be obtained for the test DRAM device of FIG. 3.

5 It is clear from FIGs. 8 and 9, that the diffusion reverse bias leakage is higher for a polysilicon-bounded diode with stress-induced defects than for a polysilicon-bounded diode without stress-induced defects. Comparing FIGs. 8 and 9, it may be seen that the gate current for a polysilicon-bounded diode with stress-induced defects
10 exhibits spiking or sudden increases by as much as ten times more than the background gate leakage, as the P+ diffusion reverse bias voltage is changed from 0 to about -4V. This behavior is not present for the polysilicon-bounded test diodes without stress-induced defects.

15 For reverse bias voltages more negative than -4 V, the gate current increases exponentially due to Fowler-Nordheim tunneling, and the gate current becomes more significant than the spiking due to the stress-induced defects. The spiking in gate current occurs because of carrier generation
20 at the site of the stress-induced defects, which act as carrier-generation sites.

In the case of a test DRAM device, the processing of the deep trench could cause stress-induced defects to be generated in the P+ substrate very close to the outer surface of the thin insulator of the deep trench. Under the second test methodology the presence of stress-induced defects causes spiking in the current flowing through the thin insulator of the deep trench, which then flows from the polysilicon filling the deep trench, through the channel of the transfer device and can be measured at the diffusion terminal. Under the third test methodology, stress-induced defects in the N-well/P+ diffusion close to the thin gate dielectric of the transfer device are detected.

When polysilicon-bounded test diodes 100 and test DRAM devices 300 are used in testing for stress-induced defects under the first, second and third test methodologies, the screen or fail limit for gate current spiking due to presence of stress-induced defects is about a three times increase in gate current over the background value. This increase in gate current can be observed by any of several techniques known in the art, such as connecting an oscilloscope to the polysilicon gate terminal.

FIGs. 10A and 10B are flowcharts illustrating fourth and fifth test methodologies respectively, according to a second embodiment of the present invention. Referring to FIG 10A, in step 575, one or more polysilicon-bounded test diodes 100 (see FIGs. 1 and 2) is selected. In step 580, for each polysilicon-bounded test diode 100, polysilicon gate 125, N-well 115 and substrate 105 (see FIG. 1) are held at ground potential. In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts. In step 585, for each polysilicon-bounded test diode 100, P+ diffusion region 110 (see FIG. 1) is ramped from about 0 volts to about 0.85 volts. In step 590, for each polysilicon-bounded test diode 100, the current through P+ diffusion region 110 (see FIG. 1) is measured as a function of voltage and a frequency distribution analysis of the slope of the forward bias voltage/P+ diffusion current at a pre-selected forward bias voltage is performed. In step 595, one or more STI-bounded reference diodes 200 (see FIGs. 3 and 4) is selected. In step 600, for each STI-bounded reference diode 200, N-well 215, and substrate 105 (see FIG. 3) are held at ground potential. In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts. In step 605, for each STI-bounded reference diode 200, P+ diffusion 210 (see FIG.

3) is ramped from about 0 volts to about 0.85 volts. In step 610, the current through P+ diffusion region 210 (see FIG. 3) is measured as a function of voltage and a frequency distribution analysis of the slope of forward bias voltage/P+ diffusion current at the pre-selected forward bias voltage is performed. In step 615, the frequency distributions of the slope of the forward bias voltage/P+ diffusion current at the pre-selected voltage value for polysilicon-bounded diodes 100 and STI-bounded reference diodes 200 are compared. An example forward bias voltage versus P+ diffusion current and of a frequency distribution analysis are illustrated in FIGs. 11 and 12 and described below.

Referring to FIG 10B, in step 620, one or more test DRAM devices 300 (see FIG. 5) is selected. In step 625, for each test DRAM devices 300, N-well contact 385 and substrate contact 380 are held at ground potential and in step 630, word line contact 395 is held at a voltage sufficient to turn off transfer device 345 (see FIG. 5.) In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts and the turn off voltage is about 2 volts. In step 635, for each test DRAM devices 300, bit line contact 390 (see FIG. 5) is ramped from about

0 volts to about 0.85 volts. In step 640, for each test
DRAM devices 300, the current through bit line contact 390
(see FIG. 5) is measured as a function of voltage and a
frequency distribution analysis of the slope of forward bias
voltage/bit line current at a pre-selected forward bias
voltage is performed. In step 645, one or more reference
devices 400 is selected. In step 650, for each reference
device 400, N-well contact 485 and substrate contacts 490
are held at ground potential. In one example, ground
potential is about 0 volts. In step 655, for each reference
device 400, P+ diffusion contact 495 (see FIG. 6) is ramped
from about 0 volts to about 0.85 volts. In step 660, for
each reference device 400, the current through P+ diffusion
contact 495 (see FIG. 6) is measured as a function of
voltage and a frequency distribution analysis of the slope
of forward bias voltage/bit line current at the pre-selected
forward bias voltage is performed. In step 665, the
frequency distributions of the slope of the forward bias
voltage/bit line current at the pre-selected voltage value
for the test DRAM 300 and reference device 400 are compared.

FIG. 11 is a plot of the forward bias current versus
forward bias voltage for three different polysilicon-bounded

test diodes of FIG. 1, each having different quantities of stress-induced defects and FIG. 12 is a histogram of the distribution of the slope, in mV/decade of current versus the forward bias current voltage characteristics of polysilicon-bounded test diodes of FIG. 1 and STI-bounded reference diodes of FIG. 3. While FIGs. 11 and 12 are for polysilicon-bounded test diodes and STI-bounded reference diodes, similar plots would be obtained for the test DRAM device of FIG. 5 and the reference device of FIG. 6.

The forward bias slope of forward bias current versus bias voltage is defined by the amount of forward bias voltage/decade of diode current. This slope has a value of 59.4 mV/Decade at room temperature (27°C) for a silicon diode without stress-induced defects. Using equation (1) the value of the forward bias slope may be calculated to be 59.4 mV/Decade at room temperature (27°C.)

$$S = \ln(10) \times KT/q \quad (1)$$

Where:

S is forward bias voltage/decade of diode current;

ln is the natural logarithm;

K is Boltzmann's constant;

T is absolute temperature in degrees Kelvin; and

q is the electron charge.

Diodes with stress-induced defects show forward bias slopes higher than 59.4 mV/Decade. Figure 11 indicates that the increase in the slope becomes more significant as the density of dislocations increases from none to low to high.

In FIG. 11, measurements on a set of polysilicon-bounded test diodes with no stress-induced defects, a low level of stress-induced defects, a medium level of stress-induced defects and a high level of stress-induced defects are plotted. The level of stress-induced defects was verified by transmission electron microscopy (TEM.)

FIG. 12 is a histogram of the distribution of the slope, in mV/decade of current versus the forward bias current-voltage characteristics of polysilicon-bounded test diodes of FIG. 1 and STI-bounded reference diodes of FIG. 3.

In FIG. 12, the distribution of forward bias voltage versus current slopes is plotted as a histogram for one or more polysilicon-bounded test diodes and one or more STI-bounded reference diodes at a predetermined forward bias voltage (in this example, 0.45 volts.) STI-bounded reference diodes have no stress-induced defects (see below.) The dimensions for both polysilicon-bounded and STI -bounded diodes was, in

this example, " W_p " = 0.5 microns and " L_D " = of 100 microns
(see FIG. 1.) FIG. 12 illustrates that for diodes with
stress-induced defects, the forward bias versus current
slopes at the pre-determined forward bias voltage have
5 values well in excess of 59.4 mV/decade of current, reaching
as high as 112 mV/decade of current.

When this test methodology is used for testing the
screen or fail limit for the forward bias slope (at a pre-
determined voltage), indicating presence of stress-induced
10 defects may be set, in one example, at 64 mV/Decade, which
is about 8% above the target value of 59.4 mV/decade for the
forward bias slope of diodes without dislocations. This 8%
tolerance allows for variations in measurement sensitivity.

Experiments performed with STI-bounded reference
15 diodes, showed normal forward bias slope with no indication
of stress-induced defects indicating STI-bounded reference
diodes are suitable for use as control devices. The
presence of stress-induced defects (in one example,
dislocations) in polysilicon-bounded test diodes and lack of
20 stress-induced defects (dislocations) in STI-bounded
reference diodes was verified by transverse electron
microscope (TEM) analysis. Determination of forward bias

voltage versus current slope at about 0.4 to 0.5 volts of forward bias is optimum for this test methodology. Use of about 0.4 to 0.5 volts of forward bias voltage, with semiconductor stress-induced defects, results in the maximum increase in forward bias versus current slope with the presence of stress-induced defects, resulting in high sensitivity for the detection and characterization of stress-induced defects.

FIGs. 13A, 13B and 13C are flowcharts illustrating sixth, seventh and eighth test methodologies respectively, according to a third embodiment of the present invention. Referring to FIG 13A, in step 670, one or more polysilicon-bounded test diodes 100 (see FIGs 1 and 2) is selected. In step 675, each polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 is maintained at a pre-selected temperature. In one example, the pre-selected temperature is 160°C. However, any temperature in the range of about 100 to 200 °C may be used. In step 680, for each polysilicon-bounded test diode 100, N-well 115, substrate 105 and polysilicon gate 125 (see FIG. 1) are held at ground potential. In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts. In step 685, for each polysilicon-bounded test diode 100, a pre-determined voltage

is applied to P+ diffusion region 110 (see FIG. 1) for at least a pre-determined time. In one example, the predetermined voltage is about -6.3 volts or less and the pre-determined time is about 0.5 hours or more. In step 5 690, for each polysilicon-bounded test diode 100, the current through polysilicon gate 125 (see FIG. 1) is monitored for "soft" breakdown.

"Soft" breakdown is defined as an increase in gate current of about 10 to 50 times the breakdown current of an unstressed gate. "Hard" breakdown is defined as an increase 10 in gate current greater than about 50 times the breakdown current of an unstressed gate. (In the present example, -6.3 volts for 0.5 hours are the stress conditions.)

Referring to FIG 13B, in step 700, one or more test 15 DRAM devices 300 is selected. In step 705, each test DRAM device 300 is maintained at a pre-selected temperature. In one example, the pre-selected temperature is 160°C. However, any temperature in the range of about 100 to 200 °C may be used. In step 710, for each test DRAM device 300, N- 20 well contact 385 and bit line contact 390 (see FIG. 5) are held at ground potential. In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts. In step 715, for each test DRAM device

300, word line contact 395 is held at a voltage sufficient to turn on transfer device 345 (see FIG. 5.) In step 720, for each test DRAM device 300, a pre-determined voltage is applied to substrate contact 380 (see FIG. 5) for at least a pre-determined time. In one example, the predetermined voltage is about -5.0 volts or less and the pre-determined time is about 0.5 hours or more. In step 725, for each test DRAM device 300, the current through bit line contact 390 (see FIG. 5) is monitored for "soft" breakdown.

Referring to FIG 13C, in step 730, one or more test DRAM devices 300 (see FIG. 5) is selected. In step 735, each test DRAM device 300 is maintained at a pre-selected temperature. In one example, the pre-selected temperature is 160°C. However, any temperature in the range of about 100 to 200 °C may be used. In step 740, for each test DRAM device 300, N-well contact 385, substrate contact 380 and word line contact 395 (see FIG. 5) are held at ground potential. In one example, ground potential is about 0 volts. In step 745, for each test DRAM device 300, a pre-determined voltage is applied to bit line contact 390 (see FIG. 5) for at least a pre-determined time. In one example, the predetermined voltage is about -6.3 volts or less and

the pre-determined time is about 0.5 hours or more. In step 750, for each test DRAM device 300, the current through word line contact 395 (see FIG. 5) is monitored for "soft" breakdown.

5 FIG. 14 is a plot of the polysilicon gate current versus stress time for polysilicon-bounded test diodes of FIG. 1 with and without stress-induced defects. The data plotted in FIG. 14 was obtained from a polysilicon-bounded test diode having 5 nm of thermal oxide gate dielectric. 10 The stress conditions were -6.3 volts at 160°C for about 1.5E5 seconds.

 It may be readily seen from FIG. 14 that the gate current prior to breakdown (prior to about 2.8E4 seconds) is about the same for diodes with and without stress-induced 15 defects. FIG. 14 clearly illustrates that polysilicon-bounded test diodes with stress-induced defects show earlier breakdown than polysilicon-bounded test diodes without stress-induced defects. The earlier gate breakdown in polysilicon-bounded test diodes having stress-induced 20 defects is attributed to the stress-induced defects causing spikes in the gate current which in turn stresses the gate dielectric causing it to breakdown.

FIG. 14 also clearly illustrates polysilicon-bounded diodes with stress-induced defects exhibit "soft," limited, breakdown as defined above. In "hard" breakdown, the increase in gate current is limited only by the external circuit resistance, with almost no resistance contribution due to the gate oxide. While FIG. 14 is for polysilicon-bounded test diodes, similar plots would be obtained for the test DRAM device of FIG. 5.

The seventh test methodology (illustrated in FIG. 13B and described above) is particularly suited to detect stress-induced defects in the substrate near the deep trench capacitor. The eighth test methodology (illustrated in FIG. 13C and described above) is particularly suited to detect stress-induced defects in the P+ diffusion/N-well interface near the gate dielectric of the transfer device.

It should be noted that the optimization of the polysilicon-bounded test diode/STI-bounded reference diode test system for the detection and characterization of the semiconductor stress-induced defects is a strong function of the perimeter-to-area ratio of polysilicon gate 125 of polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 of FIG. 1. The sensitivity of stress-induced defect detection using the

polysilicon-bounded test diode/STI-bounded reference diode test system increases as the gate perimeter to area ratio increases. A polysilicon gate perimeter-to- area ratio of 1.48/microns has been found to give satisfactory sensitivity.

It should also be noted that that the optimization of the polysilicon-bounded test diode/STI-bounded reference diode test system for the detection and characterization of the semiconductor stress-induced defects is also a function of the overlap space of polysilicon gate 125 with P+ diffusion region 110 ("O_p" in FIG. 1) An "O_p" value of about 0.26 microns has been found to give satisfactory sensitivity.

The structures and the test methodologies of the present invention may be used to monitor formation of stress-induced defects during fabrication of semiconductor devices providing a powerful tool for improving those processes in order to lower the number of stress-induced defects those processes cause. By use of the structures and the test methodologies of the present invention, processes and tools that contribute stress-induced defects can be more easily identified and corrected.

It has been found because of the sensitivity of polysilicon-bounded test diode 100 (see FIG. 1 and 2), such a device having intentionally created dielectric defects will function as an antifuse. We now turn our attention to this embodiment of the present invention. A diode (or antifuse) having a P+ diffusion region in an N-well is defined as a PN diode (or PN antifuse.) A diode (or antifuse) having a N+ diffusion region in a P-well is defined as an NP diode (or antifuse.)

FIGs. 15A through 15K are partial cross-sectional views illustrating fabrication of an antifuse according to the present invention. A top view is illustrated in FIG. 1 and the sections illustrated in FIGs. 15A through 15K are taken through line 2-2 of FIG. 1. FIGs. 15A through 15K illustrate formation of a PN diode. An NP diode may be formed in a similar manner. Only the processes illustrated in FIG. 15E and described below differ from the processes that may be used to fabricate polysilicon-bounded test diode 100. In the case of an antifuse the following dimensions are applicable (see FIG. 1): " L_D " is about 1 to 500 microns, " W_D " is about 1 to 10 microns, " W_P " is about 0.5 to 1.5 microns and " O_P " is about 0.1 to 0.6 microns.

In FIG. 15A, a silicon substrate 800 is provided. A ring of STI 805 is formed in silicon substrate 800 by, for example, well known trench etch and chemical-mechanical-polish (CMP) processes. STI 805 has an inner perimeter 807 and an outer perimeter 808. In one example, silicon substrate 800 is doped P⁻ with boron (B) at a concentration of 5E15 atoms/cm².

In FIG. 15B, an N-well 810 is formed by ion implantation of phosphorus (P.) In one example, multiple phosphorous implants are performed, a first P implant at an energy of 650 Kev and a dose of 2.4E13 atoms/cm², a second P implant at an energy of 300 Kev and a dose of 5E12 atoms/cm² and a third P implant at an energy of 35 Kev and a dose of 1E12 atoms/cm². For a NP diode, boron would be implanted to form a P-well instead of an N-well. In one example, for an NP diode, the first implant is B at an energy of 260 Kev and a dose of 2.2E13 atoms/cm², the second implant is B at an energy of 130 Kev and a dose of 6E12 atoms/cm² and the third implant is BF₂ at an energy of 35 Kev and dose of 1E12 atoms/cm². N-well 810 extend below a bottom 815 of STI 805.

In FIG.15C, a gate dielectric layer 820 is formed on a top surface 825 of silicon substrate 800 and a polysilicon

layer 830 is formed on a top surface 835 of the dielectric layer. In one example, dielectric layer 820 is thermal oxide about 10 to 120 Å thick and polysilicon layer 830 is about 1200 to 2000 Å thick formed by well known low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) processes.

In FIG. 15D, dielectric layer 820 and polysilicon layer 830 are selectively removed by well known photolithographic and reactive ion etch (RIE) to form polysilicon gate 840. Polysilicon gate 840 has an inner perimeter 842 and an outer perimeter 843. Polysilicon gate 840 overlaps inner perimeter 807 of STI 805.

In FIG. 15E, a protective layer 845 is formed on top surface 825 of substrate 800. A photoresist layer 850 is formed and patterned (by well known photolithographic processes) on top of protective layer 845. Protective layer 845 is exposed only inside of antifuse area 855. In one, example, protective layer 845 is about 60 Å of thermal oxide. A heavy ion specie implant is performed in order to create defects in the inner perimeter 860 of gate dielectric 820. In one example, the heavy ion specie is germanium (Ge) implanted at an energy of 40 Kev, a dose of 3×10^{15} atoms/cm² and an angle of 7 degrees. In a second example, the heavy

ion specie is arsenic (As) implanted at an energy of 45 Kev,
a dose of 5×10^{15} atoms/cm² and an angle of 7 degrees. The
higher the atomic weight of the heavy ion specie, the lower
the implantation dose and energy required in order to induce
5 the desired damage in inner perimeter 860 of gate dielectric
820. Then photoresist layer 850 is removed.

In FIG. 15F, protective layer 845 of FIG. 15E is
removed. First silicon nitride spacers 865 are formed by
well-known processes, on sidewalls 870 of polysilicon gates
10 840. In one example first silicon nitride spacers 865 are
formed from about a 125Å thick film of silicon nitride.
Then an angled halo ion implant is performed. In the case
of a PN diode, the halo implant includes a relatively low
energy and low dose implant(s) selected from the group
15 consisting of germanium, arsenic, indium, boron and
combinations thereof. In the case of a NP diode, the halo
implant includes a relatively low energy and low dose
implant(s) selected from the group consisting of germanium,
arsenic, boron (as BF₂) and combinations thereof.

20 In FIG. 15G, second silicon nitride spacers 875 are
formed over first silicon nitride spacers 865 by well-known
processes. In one example second silicon nitride spacers

875 are formed from about a 800Å thick film of silicon nitride.

In FIG. 15H, a photoresist layer 880 is formed and patterned (by well known photolithographic processes) on top surface 825 of silicon substrate 800. An ion implant is performed to form N+ N-well contacts 885. The ion implant includes relatively low energy and low to high dose implant(s) selected from the group consisting of germanium, phosphorous and combinations thereof. In the case of a NP diode, the ion implant includes a relatively low energy and low to high dose implant(s) selected from the group consisting of germanium, boron and combinations thereof. Photoresist layer 880 is then removed.

In FIG. 15I, a photoresist layer 885 is formed and patterned (by well known photolithographic processes) on top surface 825 of silicon substrate 800. An ion implant is performed to form a P+ diffusion region 890 in N-well 810 between STI 815. The ion implant includes relatively low energy and low to high dose implant(s) selected from the group consisting of germanium, boron and combinations thereof. In the case of a NP diode, the ion implant includes a relatively low energy and low to high dose.

implant(s) selected from the group consisting of germanium, phosphorus and combinations thereof to form an N+ diffusion region. Photoresist layer 885 is then removed.

5 In FIG. 15J, a silicide layer 895 is formed by well-known processes on N-well contact 885, P+ diffusion region 890 and on top of gates 840. In one example, silicide layer is cobalt silicide, titanium silicide or combinations thereof.

10 In FIG. 15K, a dielectric layer 900 is formed on top surface 825 of substrate 800. A multiplicity of stud contacts 905 are formed in dielectric layer 900 to make electrical contact to N-well contacts 905 and thence to N-well 810, gates 840 and P+ diffusion region 890.

15 FIG. 16 is a Weibull distribution for Time-to-Fail (T_{BD}) and Charge-to-Breakdown (Q_{BD}) for a polysilicon-bounded test diode of FIG. 1 used as an antifuse, with and without stress-induced defects. FIG 16 compares a PN antifuse represented having a gate dielectric thickness of 5 nm of thermal oxide and having received a 7 degree angled
20 germanium ion implant of $3E14$ atoms/cm² at an energy of 40 Kev as illustrated in FIG. 15E and described above to a PN antifuse without the germanium implant. Stress conditions

were polysilicon gate and N-well at ground, P+ diffusion at -6.3 volts and temperature at 160°C.

FIG. 17 is a plot of the dielectric breakdown field at 30°C versus germanium implantation dose of the antifuse of FIG. 15K. For an antifuse to be reliably programmed a current density sufficient to induce a breakdown of the gate dielectric must flow through the dielectric. The voltage applied to the gate to obtain breakdown of the gate dielectric is the programming voltage. Implantation of heavy ion specie degrades the gate dielectric quality, effectively allowing more current through the gate dielectric for a given gate voltage then would occur without the implant thus causing more damage to the dielectric for a given voltage. Prior to heavy ion specie implantation, the gate dielectric breakdown electric field for dielectric thickness at or below 12 nm is about 14 MV/cm. FIG. 17 clearly shows that electric field required for breakdown of the gate dielectric is very sensitive to heavy ion specie implant dose and is significantly lowered even at relatively low implant doses.

FIG. 18 is a plot of dielectric breakdown voltage versus the inverse of absolute temperature of the antifuses

of FIG. 15K, fabricated with two thickness of dielectric.
FIG. 18 indicates that the breakdown voltage drop with
increasing temperature has an activation energy of about
0.0124 eV. From the required energy to induce the required
gate dielectric breakdown, it was determined that the
minimum required programming current is under 2 micro
amperes to be applied for a duration of about 0.05 seconds
or a PN antifuse fabricated as illustrated in FIGs. 15A
through.15G and described above and having a " L_D " = 1.5 μ m
and a " W_D " = 1.0 μ m.

FIG. 19 is a plot of dielectric breakdown voltage
versus germanium implantation dose of the antifuse of FIG.
15K at three temperatures. Similar plots can be directly
obtained for any other gate dielectric thickness using
equation (2):

$$V_p = EBD \times T_{ox} \times AT \quad (2)$$

Where:

V_p is the required programming voltage;

EBD is the electric field required for breakdown;

T_{ox} is the effective electrical thickness of the gate
dielectric taking into account any polysilicon depletion or
surface depletion effects; and

AT is the temperature acceleration factor given by equation (3):

$$AT = \exp\{(\Delta H/K) \times [(1/T) - (1/T_R)]\} \quad (3)$$

Where ΔH is the activation energy (0.0124 eV from FIG.18);

K is Boltzmann's constant;

T is the programming temperature in Kelvin; and

T_R is the reference temperature in Kelvin ($30^\circ\text{C} = 303^\circ\text{K}$).

The area of the damaged edge of the gate dielectric following germanium implantation is very small (much less than one square micrometer.) Thus, the area of the antifuse fuse of the present invention may be very small, for example, as small as about 1-2 square micrometers. In fact, the area of the antifuse is limited only by the minimum critical dimension of the photolithographic system used to fabricate the antifuse.

The gate dielectric resistance prior to breakdown is in excess of 10^{10} ohms at one volt. The gate dielectric resistance following breakdown is equal to or less than 1000 ohms, at one volt. Thus, the ratio of the dielectric

resistance prior to breakdown, to that following breakdown, at one volt, is greater than 10^7 .

The plots illustrated in FIGS. 17, 18 and 19 may be used to determine the required implantation dose of germanium necessary to induce breakdown at any desired voltage and temperature. In one example: for a polysilicon-bounded PN diode used as an antifuse, having a gate dielectric thickness of 5nm and receiving a 7 degree germanium implantation at an energy of 40 Kev and a dose of $3E15$ atom/cm², the required breakdown voltage is about 3.3V at 30°C.

Thus, it has been shown that the programming voltage of antifuse of the present invention is controlled by or tunable by heavy ion implant dose, gate dielectric thickness and temperature. It has further been shown that the programming voltage of antifuse of the present invention is dependent upon the area of the region of the gate dielectric damaged by heavy ion specie implant and independent of the total area of the antifuse.

The description of the embodiments of the present invention is given above for the understanding of the present invention. It will be understood that the invention

is not limited to the particular embodiments described herein, but is capable of various modifications, rearrangements and substitutions as will now become apparent to those skilled in the art without departing from the scope of the invention. Therefore it is intended that the following claims cover all such modifications and changes as fall within the true spirit and scope of the invention.